arXiv:1409.6796v1 [math.GT] 24 Sep 2014

LINEARLY EMBEDDED GRAPHS IN 3-SPACE WITH HOMOTOPICALLY FREE EXTERIORS

YOUNGSIK HUH AND JUNG HOON LEE

Abstract. An embedding of a graph into $\mathbb{R}^3$ is said to be *linear*, if any edge of the graph is sent to be a line segment. And we say that an embedding f of a graph G into $\mathbb{R}^3$ is *free*, if $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}^3 - f(G))$ is a free group. It was known that for any complete graph its linear embedding is always free [4].

In this paper we investigate the freeness of linear embeddings considering the number of vertices. It is shown that for any simple connected graph with at most 6 vertices, if its minimal valency is at least 3, then its linear embedding is always free. On the contrary when the number of vertices is much larger than the minimal valency or connectivity, the freeness may not be an intrinsic property of such graphs. In fact we show that for any $n \geq 1$ there are infinitely many connected graphs with minimal valency n which have non-free linear embeddings, and furthermore, that there are infinitely many n-connected graphs which have non-free linear embeddings.

1. Introduction

Let G be a finite connected graph and $f : G \to \mathbb{R}^3$ be an embedding of G into the Euclidean 3-space $\mathbb{R}^3$. If the fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}^3 - f(G))$ is free, then we say that the embedding f is *free*.

The freeness of fundamental group plays a key role in detecting the unknottedness of graphs in $\mathbb{R}^3$. A graph embedded into $\mathbb{R}^3$(or its embedding into $\mathbb{R}^3$) is said to be *unknotted* if it lies on an embedded surface in $\mathbb{R}^3$ which is homeomorphic to the 2-sphere. It is known that a simple closed curve embedded in $\mathbb{R}^3$ is unknotted if and only if it is free [5]. This result was generalized by Scharlemann and Thompson. They proved that for any planar graph G its embedding f is unknotted if and only if $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}^3 - f(H))$ is free for every subgraph H of G [8, 10, 2]. Furthermore this criterion is valid even when determining whether a graph has a linkless embedding. It was proved, by Robertson, Seymour and Thomas, that a graph G has a linkless embedding if and only if it has an embedding f such that $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}^3 - f(H))$ is free for every subgraph H of G [7].

In this paper, basically we are interested in the freeness of graph in $\mathbb{R}^3$, but our viewpoint is different from the previous works in the above. We begin with observing two specific embeddings of the complete graph K_4. In Figure 1-(a) an edge forms a locally knotted arc, hence the embedding illustrated in the figure is not free. For any graph with a cycle, we may construct a non-free embedding in this way. On the contrary, in Figure 1-(b), every

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 57M25; Secondary: 57M15, 05C10.
Key words and phrases. linear embedding, complete graph, fundamental group, free.

edge is a line segment. Therefore the embedded edges constitute the 1-skeleton of a tetrahedron, and the fundamental group of its complement is free in consequence. Motivated by this example, the freeness of such *linear* embeddings is studied in this paper.



FIGURE 1.

An embedding of a graph into $\mathbb{R}^3$ will be said to be *linear* if each edge of the graph is sent to be a line segment. Note that, for a graph to be linearly embeddable, it should be simple, that is, have no multiple edges between any two vertices and no loop edges.

In the late 1980s, V. Nicholson proved the following theorem which says that the freeness of linear embedding is an intrinsic property of complete graphs:

Theorem 1. [4] *Every linear embedding of the complete graph K_n is free.*

The first aim of this paper is to investigate small graphs with such property. Let G be a connected graph. Suppose that a cycle of G contains n consecutive vertices $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ such that the valency of v_i in G is two for every i. Then for $n \geq 4$ we can construct a linear embedding of G so that the path traversing the vertices forms a locally knotted arc, and consequently the embedding is not free. See Figure 2 for example. To avoid such local knottedness, the graphs in our consideration are restricted so that every vertex is of valency at least three.

Let $V(G)$ be the set of vertices of G. For a vertex v, let $d(v)$ denote its valency. And $\delta(G)$ will denote $\min\{d(v)|v \in V(G)\}$. Then we have the following theorem:

Theorem 2. *Let G be a simple connected graph with $|V(G)| \leq 6$. If the minimal valency $\delta(G)$ is at least 3, then every linear embedding of G is free.*



FIGURE 2.



FIGURE 3.

Here we remark that the condition, $\delta \geq 3$, is not sufficient to guarantee the freeness for graphs with more than 7 vertices. For example Figure 3 shows a graph with $\delta = 3$ and $|V| = 8$ which has a non-free linear embedding. The embedding is obtained by adding 6 additional line segments to a hexagonal trefoil knot so that the additional edges cobound some disks with subarcs of the knot. In consequence the fundamental group of its complement is a free product of the fundamental group of the trefoil knot complement and a free group. Since the fundamental group of the trefoil knot complement is not free, our group is also not free.

Motivated by this observation we show that if the number of vertices of a graph is relatively larger than its minimal valency or connectivity, then it may have a linear embedding which is not free:

Theorem 3. *For any $n \geq 1$, there are infinitely many simple connected graphs with minimal valency n which have non-free linear embeddings.*

Theorem 4. *For any $n \geq 1$, there are infinitely many n-connected graphs which have non-free linear embeddings.*

The proofs of the two theorems are constructive. In Theorem 3 the constructed graph has at least $6(n+1)$ vertices, and in Theorem 4, at least $12n$ vertices. Note that for the complete graph K_n, the number of vertices, valency and connectivity are n, $n-1$ and n, respectively.

The rest of this paper is devoted to proving the theorems. Theorems 3 and 4 are proved in Sections 2 and 3, respectively. The proof of Theorem 2 is given in the final section for our convenience.

2. Proof of Theorem 3

Let C_6 be the cycle graph with only six vertices $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_6$. And let G_i be a copy of the complete graph K_{n+1} for $1 \leq i \leq 6$. Identifying each v_i with a vertex of G_i, we obtain a simple connected graph G with $6(n+1)$ vertices and $\delta(G) = n$. And then construct a linear embedding f of G into $\mathbb{R}^3$ as follows. Figure 4 depicts the embedding.

- Embed C_6 so that $f(C_6)$ is a hexagonal trefoil knot.
- Take mutually disjoint small 3-balls $B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_6$ so that each B_i meets $f(C_6)$ only at the vertex $f(v_i)$.
- Embed each G_i linearly into B_i so that it meets the boundary 2-sphere $S_i = \partial B_i$ only at the identified vertex $f(v_i)$.

Now we show that $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}^3 - f(G))$ is not free. For our convenience let G denote the embedded graph $f(G)$ itself. Firstly modify G slightly by subdividing each vertex v_i as seen in Figure 5. Then the complement of



FIGURE 4. A linear embedding of G which is not free

G has the same homotopy type as that of the new graph. Again for our convenience let G denote the new graph.

Taking a tubular neighborhood of each edge and a small ball centered at each vertex, we have a neighborhood $N(G)$ of G so that it looks like Figure 6. Let $A_i = N(G) \cap B_i$ and $B = N(G) \cap \mathrm{cl}(\mathbb{R}^3 - (\bigcup B_i))$. Then the subset A_i is a neighborhood of a union of a linearly embedded K_{n+1} in $\mathrm{int}\, B_i$ and a line segment. The subset B is a neighborhood of a union of a hexagonal trefoil knot and six line segments. It can be assumed that $D_i = N(G) \cap S_i$ is a disk, hence $E_i = \mathrm{cl}(S_i - D_i)$ is also a disk.



FIGURE 5. Subdivision at v_i



FIGURE 6. A neighborhood $N(G)$ of G

Let $X_i = \mathrm{cl}(B_i - A_i)$ and $Y = \mathrm{cl}(\mathbb{R}^3 - (\bigcup B_i) - B)$. Then $X_i \cap Y = E_i$ and $X_i \cup Y = \mathrm{cl}(\mathbb{R}^3 - N(G) - (\bigcup_{j \neq i} B_j))$.

Claim 1. $\pi_1(X_i)$ *is free.*

Proof. If we glue a 3-ball O to B_i along their boundaries, we get the 3-sphere $\mathbb{S}^3$. Then $X_i = \mathrm{cl}(\mathbb{S}^3 - (A_i \cup O))$, and $A_i \cup O$ can be regarded as a neighborhood of a linearly embedded K_{n+1} in $\mathbb{S}^3$. Therefore by Theorem 1, $\pi_1(X_i)$ is free. □

Claim 2. *$\pi_1(Y)$ is not free.*

Proof. Note that $Y = \mathrm{cl}(\mathbb{R}^3 - (B \cup (\bigcup B_i)))$, and $B \cup (\bigcup B_i)$ can be regarded as a neighborhood of a hexagonal trefoil in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Therefore $\pi_1(Y)$ is not free by the unknotting theorem in [5]. □

Since $\pi_1(X_i \cap Y)$ is trivial, $\pi_1(X_i \cup Y)$ is a free product of $\pi_1(X_i)$ and $\pi_1(Y)$ by the van Kampen theorem. So $\pi_1(X_i \cup Y)$ is not free by Claims 1 and 2. We repeat gluing X_{i+1} to $(\cdots((Y \cup X_1) \cup X_2)\cdots) \cup X_i$. Since $Y \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^{6} X_i) = \mathrm{cl}(\mathbb{R}^3 - N(G))$, it is concluded that $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}^3 - N(G))$ is not free.

For any nontrivial knot other than the trefoil, the construction in the above can be applied. Therefore we have an infinite family of graphs satisfying the statement of the theorem. Note that since the number of line segments necessary to realize polygonal representation of any nontrivial knot is at least 6 [6], the number of vertices of each graph in the family is at least $6(n+1)$.

3. Proof of Theorem 4

Let $K_{n,n}$ be the complete bipartite graph. Its vertices are denoted by a_i, b_i $(1 \le i \le n)$, and edges by $\overline{a_i b_j}$ $(1 \le i, j \le n)$. And let H be a graph obtained by adding edges $\overline{a_i a_{i+1}}$ and $\overline{b_i b_{i+1}}$ $(1 \le i \le n-1)$ to $K_{n,n}$. Consider six copies $H_1, \ldots, H_6$ of H. The vertices of each H_i $(1 \le i \le 6)$ are denoted by $a_{i,j}$, $b_{i,j}$ $(1 \le j \le n)$. Finally we obtain a graph G from $H_1, \ldots, H_6$ by adding edges $\overline{b_{1,j}a_{2,j}}$, …, $\overline{b_{5,j}a_{6,j}}$, $\overline{b_{6,j}a_{1,j}}$ $(1 \le j \le n)$ as illustrated in Figure 7. Then the graph G is n-connected.



Figure 7. n-connected graph G

Before constructing a linear embedding of G into $\mathbb{R}^3$, let us consider a linear embedding of H into the cube $I^3 = [1,n] \times [1,n] \times [1,n]$. A linear embedding of a graph is determined by the positions of its vertices. Construct

two linear embeddings G_1 and G_2 of H into I^3 so that $a_i = (n/2, i, n)$, $b_i = (i, 1, 1)$ for G_1, and $a_i = (n+1-i, 1, 1)$, $b_i = (n/2, i, n)$ for G_2 as illustrated in Figure 8.



FIGURE 8.



FIGURE 9.



FIGURE 10.



FIGURE 11.

Figure 10 shows the final linear embedding of G obtained by connecting the cubes in the above with $6n$ line segments. The embedding is realizable by modifying the cubes so that the top and front faces are leaning as much as necessary. Figure 9 illustrates such modification. Note that the embedded cycle $\langle a_{1,1}b_{1,1}a_{2,1}b_{2,1}\cdots a_{6,1}b_{6,1}\rangle$ of G is a dodecagonal trefoil knot.

Consider a process as the following. Let T_0 be an embedded graph in $\mathbb{R}^3$. For $i \geq 0$ choose an arc α_i in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and a subarc β_i of T_i so that there exists a disk D_i with $\partial D_i = \alpha_i \cup \beta_i$ and $D_i \cap T_i = \beta_i$. And let $T_{i+1} = T_i \cup \alpha_i$. Then by the HNN-Extension theorem [9] $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}^3 - T_{i+1})$ is the free product of $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}^3 - T_i)$ and the infinite cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore for any $n \geq 1$ $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}^3 - T_n)$ is a free product of $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}^3 - T_0)$ and the free group of rank n.

We can see that the embedded graph G is obtained through the process as the above starting from a dodecagonal trefoil knot. So $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}^3 - G)$ is a free product of the trefoil knot group and a free group, consequently not free. By applying this construction to other nontrivial knots, we have an infinite family of graphs satisfying the statement of the theorem. Every graph in the family has at least $12n$ vertices.

4. Proof of Theorem 2

Since the minimal valency of G is at least 3, the number of vertices should be 4, 5 or 6. If it is 4, then G is the complete graph K_4. By Theorem 1 we may assume that G is not a complete graph.

If $|V(G)|$ is 5, the possible distribution of valencies of its vertices is $(4,4,4,3,3)$ or $(4,3,3,3,3)$. In the former case G should be the graph in Figure 11-(a). The graph contains the complete graph K_4 as a subgraph. If G is linearly embedded into $\mathbb{R}^3$, then K_4 constitutes the 1-skeleton of a tetrahedron. Consider the relative position of three edges incident to the fifth vertex v with respect to the tetrahedron T. Then we know that any linear embedding of G corresponds to one of the three types illustrated in Figure 11-(b): $v \in \text{int } T$, $v \notin T$ and no edge incident to v meets int T, or $v \notin T$ and only one edge from v meets int T. Apply the process in the previous section which starts from K_4. Then it can be confirmed that the three types are free. In fact each type can be isotoped into a plane in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

If the distribution is $(4,3,3,3,3)$, G should be the graph in Figure 12-(a). Consider a convex hull determined by four vertices of G. Then, similarly as above, it can be shown that all possible types of linear embedding of G are free. In fact, also in this case, each type can be isotoped into a plane in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Figure 12-(b) shows an example.



FIGURE 12.

In the rest of this section we consider the case $|V(G)| = 6$. Let f be a linear embedding of G into $\mathbb{R}^3$. For our convenience G will denote both the abstract graph itself and the embedded graph without distinction. The vertices will be labelled simply by $1, 2, \ldots, 6$. And the line segment in $\mathbb{R}^3$ between two vertices i and j is denoted by $\overline{ij}$. Let Δ_{ijk} denote the convex hull determined by three vertices i, j and k. Lastly, for an ordered sequence ijk of three vertices, define

$$H^+_{ijk} = \{p \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid (\overrightarrow{ij} \times \overrightarrow{jk}) \cdot \overrightarrow{jp} > 0\} \text{ and } H^-_{ijk} = \{q \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid (\overrightarrow{ij} \times \overrightarrow{jk}) \cdot \overrightarrow{jq} < 0\}.$$

Now three lemmas necessary for the proof of Theorem 2 are introduced. We begin with a well-known result of Conway and Gordon.

Lemma 5. [1] *Every embedding of the complete graph K_6 into $\mathbb{R}^3$ contains a non-splittable 2-component link as a pair of disjoint cycles.*

Without loss of generality we may assume that the vertices embedded by f are in general position. Then f can be extended to be a linear embedding of K_6. By Lemma 5 we can label the vertices so that the following conditions are satisfied (see Figure 13 for your understanding).

- $\partial\triangle_{123} \cup \partial\triangle_{456}$ is a Hopf link.
- $\overline{45}$ penetrates $\triangle_{123}$.
- $\overline{13}$ penetrates $\triangle_{456}$.
- $4, 6 \in H^+_{132}$ and $5 \in H^-_{132}$.
- $6 \in H^+_{134}$ and $2, 5 \in H^-_{134}$.

We will say that a convex hull Δ_{ijk} is *trivial*, if its interior is not penetrated by any line segment between the vertices of G. In a previous work of the first author the linear embeddings of K_6 were investigated. An observation from the work is given here as a lemma.

Lemma 6. [3, Section 4] *The following convex hulls are trivial:*

$$\{\Delta_{124}, \Delta_{125}, \Delta_{135}, \Delta_{136}, \Delta_{146}, \Delta_{156}, \Delta_{234}, \Delta_{235}, \Delta_{245}, \Delta_{246}, \Delta_{346}, \Delta_{356}\}$$

Considering the conditions of G, the following lemma is easily proved.

Lemma 7. *The graph G contains a Hamiltonian cycle.*

By Lemma 7 there exists a hexagonal knot P in $\mathbb{R}^3$ which is a Hamiltonian cycle of G. And each component of $G - P$ is a line segment connecting two vertices of P. Such line segments will be called *bridges* of P. Possibly P is one of $\frac{5!}{2} = 60$ Hamitonian cycles of $F(K_6)$, where F is the linear embedding



FIGURE 13.



FIGURE 14.

of K_6 extended from f. For each possible case, we are going to observe the isotopy relation among the bridges of P.

Case 1: P is the cycle $< 123456 >$. In this case the possible candidates for bridges of P are

$$\{\overline{13}, \overline{14}, \overline{15}, \overline{24}, \overline{25}, \overline{26}, \overline{35}, \overline{36}, \overline{46}\} .$$

Since Δ_{124} is trivial, it is a disk whose interior is disjoint from the embedded graph K_6. Therefore $\overline{14}$ can be isotoped to $\overline{24}$ by sliding along $\overline{12}$ (see Figure 14-(a)). Now look into $\overline{26}$ and $\overline{46}$. The trivial convex hull Δ_{246} does not contain any edge of P. But Δ_{234} is trivial. Therefore, slightly pulling down the disk $\Delta_{246} \cup \Delta_{234}$, we can obtain another disk such that it is bounded by $\overline{26}$, $\overline{46}$, $\overline{34}$ and $\overline{23}$, and its interior is disjoint from the embedded K_6. Consequently $\overline{26}$ can be isotoped to $\overline{46}$ by sliding along a subarc $\overline{23} \cup \overline{34}$ of P (see Figure 14-(b)). Applying these two arguments to other candidates, we can construct a graph I as seen in Figure 15-(a): The fat vertices correspond to candidates of bridges. And two fat vertices are connected by an edge, if two corresponding candidates are isotopic as described in the above. Note that the resulting graph I is connected.

Now we associate the connectivity of I with the freeness of f. Let $B_1, \ldots, B_k$ be the bridges of P, and $B_{k+1}, \ldots, B_9$ be the other line segments not belonging to G. Choose labels so that for $i \geq j \geq k+1$

$$d(B_i, \{B_1, \ldots, B_k\}) \geq d(B_j, \{B_1, \ldots, B_k\}) ,$$



FIGURE 15.

where d is the distance between vertices in the graph I. Let $G_0 = P$ and $G_i = G_{i-1} \cup B_i$ for $i \geq 1$. And let $M_i = \mathbb{R}^3 - N(G_i)$ for $i \geq 0$. Then $G_k = G$ and $G_9 = K_6$. Since the graph I is connected, for any $i \geq k$, the line segment B_{i+1} is parallel to ∂M_i. This implies that $\pi_1(M_{i+1}) \cong \pi_1(M_i) * \mathbb{Z}$. In consequence $\pi_1(M_9)$ is the free product of $\pi_1(M_k)$ and the free group of rank $9-k$. By Theorem 1 $\pi_1(M_9)$ is free, which implies that also $\pi_1(M_k) \cong \pi_1(\mathbb{R}^3 - G)$ is free.

Case 2: P is the cycle $< 126354 >$. The possible candidates for bridges of P are

$$\{\overline{16}, \overline{13}, \overline{15}, \overline{23}, \overline{25}, \overline{24}, \overline{65}, \overline{64}, \overline{34}\} .$$

Figure 15-(b) shows that the graph I is not connected in this case. But the line segment $\overline{65}$ bounds the trivial Δ_{356} together with $\overline{63} \cup \overline{35}$ which constitute an arc of P. This implies that if $\overline{65}$ is B_i, then it is parallel to ∂M_{i-1}. Also $\overline{24}$ belonging to the other component of I bounds the trivial Δ_{124} together with an arc $\overline{21} \cup \overline{14}$ of P. Therefore the arguments in Case 1 is still valid, and we can conclude that $\pi_1(M_k)$ is free.

We had checked the remaining 58 cases (in fact, permuting the vertices 1 and 3, it is enough to check 28 cases). In each of the cases, either the graph I is connected or every connected component of I contains a fat vertex whose corresponding line segment bounds a trivial disk together with an arc of P. Therefore we can apply the arguments in Cases 1 and 2, to conclude that $\pi_1(M_k)$ is free. This completes the proof.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The first author was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (2010-0009794).

The second author was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) grants 2011-0027989 and 2013R1A1A2059197.

REFERENCES

[1] J. H. Conway and C. McA. Gordon, *Knots and links in spatial graphs*, J. Graph Theory **7** (1983), no. 4, 445–453.

[2] C. McA. Gordon, *On primitive sets of loops in the boundary of a handlebody*, Topology Appl. **27** (1987), no. 3, 285–299.
[3] Y. Huh and C. B. Jeon, *Knots and links in linear embeddings of* K_6, J. Korean Math. Soc. **44** (2007), 661–671.
[4] V. Nicholson, *Types of spatial embeddings of graphs: a survey*, 250th Anniversary Conference on Graph Theory (Fort Wayne, IN, 1986), Congr. Numer. **64** (1988), 179–186.
[5] C. D. Papakyriakopoulos, *On Dehn's lemma and the asphericity of knots*, Ann. of Math. (2) **66** (1957), 1–26.
[6] R. Randell, *Invariants of piecewise-linear knots*, Knot theory (Warsaw, 1995), 307–319, Banach Center Publ., 42, Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw, 1998.
[7] N. Robertson, P. Seymour and R. Thomas, *Sachs' linkless embedding conjecture*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **64** (1995), 185–227.
[8] M. Scharlemann and A. Thompson, *Detecting unknotted graphs in* 3*-space*, J. Diff. Geom. **34** (1991), 539–560.
[9] R. C. Lyndon and P. E. Schupp, *Combinatorial group theory*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 89. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1977.
[10] Y. Q. Wu, *On planarity of graphs in* 3*-manifolds*, Comment. Math. Helv. **67** (1992), 635–647.

Department of Mathematics, College of Natural Sciences, Hanyang University, Seoul 133-791, Korea
E-mail address: yshuh@hanyang.ac.kr

Department of Mathematics and Institute of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Chonbuk National University, Jeonju 561-756, Korea
E-mail address: junghoon@jbnu.ac.kr